

## CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

**Project Name:** Doble Enterprises Inc. HRA 27B48829 Alternative Practice  
**Proposed Implementation Date:** October-November, 2022  
**Proponent:** Kirk Doble  
**Location:** S31, T36 N, R26 W, Lot 1 of KETTLEHORN 2 subdivision ACRES 35.117, PM 5485  
**County:** Lincoln

### I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

To allow the operation of wheeled or tracked equipment in a streamside management zone. The proposed action would allow logging equipment to use an existing excavated skid trail within the SMZ of a class 1 stream.

### II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

#### 1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

*Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project. List number of individuals contacted, number of responses received, and newspapers in which notices were placed and for how long. Briefly summarize issues received from the public.*

No adjacent landowners are expected to be affected by the proposal so public scoping was not deemed necessary.

#### 2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

*Examples: cost-share agreement with U.S. Forest Service, 124 Permit, 3A Authorization, Air Quality Major Open Burning Permit.*

None

#### 3. ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT:

*Describe alternatives considered and, if applicable, provide brief description of how the alternatives were developed. List alternatives that were considered but eliminated from further analysis and why.*

No action alternative:

Do not issue AP, thus effectively eliminating the option to harvest the several acres that are tributary to this segment of trail. Approximately 3 acres of property would not be managed. This will not address the dense fuel load for wildfire and not address the landowner's concerns about the increase of insect and disease activity on their property.

Action alternative:

Issue Alternative Practice that allows operation of wheeled and tracked equipment from the existing excavated skid trail that is within the SMZ allowing the contractor to use a trail that is as varies from 100-80 feet from the ordinary high watermark for a length of 280 feet when it enters the SMZ to the property boundary. This would allow landowner to meet their stated objective to manage their forest for wildfire mitigation and salvage loss from wind throw as well as insect and disease mortality. Operating within the SMZ only from the existing trail will minimize soil and water impacts. Apply BMPs during operations.

### III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

#### 4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

*Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to soils.*

Kootenai Land Type 3242; this soil type is drumlins of very gravelly soil with minor rock outcrops. Application of BMPs would mitigate impacts to soil quality, stability or moisture holding capacity of the land.

#### 5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

*Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to water resources.*

There would be none to minor impacts to water resources. The existing trail is 80 feet from the stream edge.

#### 6. AIR QUALITY:

*What pollutants or particulate would be produced (i.e. particulate matter from road use or harvesting, slash pile burning, prescribed burning, etc)? Identify the Airshed and Impact Zone (if any) according to the Montana/Idaho Airshed Group. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to air quality.*

There would be none to minor impacts expected to air resources.

#### 7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

*What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to vegetation.*

There would be none to minor impacts expected to vegetation resources.

#### 8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

*Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.*

There would be none to minor impacts expected to terrestrial, avian and aquatic resources.

#### 9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

*Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.*

Threatened or endangered species such as grizzly bears may migrate through the area, however the 280 feet of trail and 2-3 acres of ground managed would not have expected impacts.

#### 10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

*Identify and determine direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.*

No impacts to historical, archaeological, or paleontological resources are expected.

---

**11. AESTHETICS:**

*Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to aesthetics.*

No impacts to aesthetics are expected.

---

**12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:**

*Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to environmental resources.*

No limited resources will be used for this project. There are no other activities nearby that will affect the project.

---

**13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:**

*List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.*

None.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION</b></p>
---

- |  |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.</i></li><li>• <i>Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.</i></li><li>• <i>Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.</i></li></ul> |
|--|

---

**14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:**

*Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.*

Normal Health risks associated with a logging operation.

---

**15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:**

*Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.*

The project will add a minor amount of additional timber to the local wood products industry.

---

**16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:**

*Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to the employment market.*

This project would add ≈1 day of additional work and income to the contractor.

---

**17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:**

*Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.*

Minor additional income tax revenue would be generated from the additional work.

---

**18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:**

*Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services*

There would not be any affects to the local government services.

---

**19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:**

*List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.*

There is no known zoning or management planning for this area.

---

**20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:**

*Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.*

This activity would have no impact to access to or quality of recreational and wilderness activities for the public.

---

**21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:**

*Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to population and housing.*

This activity would have no impact to density or distribution of population and housing.

---

**22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:**

*Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.*

Logging is an activity that would be considered a traditional lifestyle for this community and area; this activity would not disrupt social structures.

---

**23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:**

*How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?*

Cultural uniqueness and diversity would not be affected.

---

**24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:**

*Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify direct, indirect, and cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.*

There are no unique social or economic qualities on this site.

<b>EA Checklist Prepared By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Jeremy Rank	<b>Date:</b> 10/18/2022
	<b>Title:</b> Service Forester	

**V. FINDING**

**25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:**

The Action Alternative is selected. Issue Alternative Practice that allows operation of wheeled and tracked equipment within the SMZ from the existing skid trail. This would allow landowner to meet their state need. Mitigate by operating within the SMZ under dry or frozen and snow-covered conditions, this will minimize soil and water impacts.

**26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:**

All action alternatives have the potential to have impacts to the land or water resources. This action alternative proposes to both minimize these impacts while still allowing management activities to proceed. The application of forestry BMPs will minimize impact to water quality.

**27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:**

EIS     
  More Detailed EA     
  No Further Analysis

<b>EA Checklist Approved By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Douglas Turman	<b>Date:</b> 10/20/22
	<b>Title:</b> Libby Unit Manager	
<b>Signature:</b>	<i>Douglas Turman</i>	



MNT7 LLC property located T36N R26W Section 31 NENE

HRA holder, Doble Enterprises, is acting on behalf of landowner asking for Alternative Practice to reuse an existing excavated skid trail that lies within the 100 foot SMZ of this Class 1 stream.

The trail is show on the map and the last 280 feet is within the SMZ and gets as close as 80 from OHWM to the toe of the trail.

