**Montana DNRC**

**INCIDENT SITUATION ASSESSMENT**

|  |
| --- |
| **SECTION 1: SITUATIONAL AWARENESS** |
| INCIDENT NAME:       | INCIDENT NUMBER:       |
| INCIDENT-LEGAL/LAT.LONG:       | COUNTY(s):       |
| DNRC LAND OFFICE:  UNIT OFFICE:  | CAUSE:       |
| START DATE/TIME:       | CURRENT SIZE:       |
| DATE/TIME OF FIRE ANALYSIS:       | % CONTAINED:       |
| JURISDICTION AGENCY:       | PROTECTION AGENCY:       |
| PREPARDNESS LEVEL:Local Level      Northern Rockies      National Level       | CURRENT WEATHER CONDITIONS:Wind Speed      Wind Direction      Temperature      Relative Humidity       |
| **SECTION 2: VALUES AT RISK** |
| **Structure Information****Type of Structure: #Threatened #Damaged #Destroyed** | **Threat to Human Life/Safety** |
| Residence: |       |       |       | Evacuation(s) in Progress [ ]  Yes [ ]  NoEvacuation(s) imminent [ ]  Yes [ ]  NoPotential future threat [ ]  Yes [ ]  NoNo likely threat [ ]  Yes [ ]  No |
| Commercial: |       |       |       |
| Outbuilding/Other: |       |       |       |
| **Other Values****Communities, Critical Infrastructure, Timber, Grazing land, Watershed, and Other Values Threatened:**12 hours:      24 hours:      48 hours:      72 hours:       |
| **SECTION 3: FIRE GROWTH POTENTIAL**  |
| Energy Release Component (ERC):      Fuels:      Fuel Model(s):  Topography:      Weather:       |
| **FIRE GROWTH POTENTIAL (CONT)** |
| **Observed Fire Behavior:** |
| GROWTH POTENTIAL (ACRES):  | PROBABILITY OR CONFIDENCE LEVEL: |
| 12 hours:       | [ ]  Low [ ]  Medium [ ]  High |
| 24 hours:       | [ ]  Low [ ]  Medium [ ]  High |
| 48 hours:       | [ ]  Low [ ]  Medium [ ]  High |
| 72 hours:       | [ ]  Low [ ]  Medium [ ]  High |
| **SECTION 4: OTHER JURISDICTIONS/PROTECTION AGENCIES IMPACTED** |
| 12 hour: Name(s) of Jurisdiction/Protection Agencies      24 hour: Name(s) of Jurisdiction/Protection Agencies      48 hour: Name(s) of Jurisdiction/Protection Agencies      72 hour: Name(s) of Jurisdiction/Protection Agencies      Comments:       |
| **SECTION 5: STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT** |
| Resistance to Control: [ ]  Low [ ]  Medium [ ]  High Resource Availability (Potential to fill requests): [ ]  Low [ ]  Medium [ ]  HighRisk Assessment or Complexity Analysis Completed: [ ]  Yes [ ]  NoLocal/Unit Capabilities: [ ]  Good [ ]  Limited [ ] MarginalStakeholders Contacted: [ ]  Yes [ ]  NoDocumentation of Stakeholders Discussion:      Major problems and concerns (control problems, social/political/economic concerns or impacts, etc.)      How likely is it that containment/control targets will be met, given the current resources and suppression/control strategy:       |
| **SECTION 6: INCIDENT CONTROL OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGY (include organization required)** |
| **(Options should consider COST, VALUES at RISK, and RISK MANAGEMENT)****OPTION A**(Objectives/Strategy/Priorities):      Organization Required:**OPTION B**(Objectives/Strategy/Priorities):      Organization Required:Preferred Option and Rationale:      **REMEMBER – RESPONDER AND PUBLIC SAFETY IS THE FIRST PRIORITY FOR ALL OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES** |
| **SECTION 7: REMARKS** |
| **REMARKS:**       |
| **SECTION 8: APPROVAL BLOCK** |
| AGENCY LINE OFFICER NAME: (Please Type):      AGENCY LINE OFFICER SIGNATURE: .DATE:      TIME:      RATIONAL:      **Reassess preferred option if**: Examples; (Control objectives not met after three operational periods, significant increase in number and type of values at risk, span of control exceeds capability of assigned incident management organization, continued lack of critical resources, and/or increased threat to adjacent jurisdictions/infrastructure).**IF REASSESSMENT INDICATES NEED TO MODIFY PREFERRED OPTION, RETURN TO SECTION 6.** |
| **APPENDIX:**  |
| MAPRELATIVE RISK and POTENTIAL INCIDENT COMPLEXITY (Complexity Analysis)INCIDENT BRIEFING PACKAGEDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY |