

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation  
Water Resources Division  
Water Rights Bureau

**ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT  
For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact**

**Part I. Proposed Action Description**

1. Applicant/Contact name and address:

PEAK HEALTH MANAGEMENT COMPANY  
3565 PATTEE CANYON RD  
MISSOULA MT 59803-1826

2. Type of action: Change Application No. 76H 30150414
3. Water source name: Bitterroot River
4. Location affected by project: Township 12N, Range 20W, Missoula County.
5. Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits: applicant proposes to change the point of diversion, purpose and place of use. the proposed new point of diversion is located in the SENWNE of sec 15, t12n, r20w. applicant will pump up to 46.72 GPM and 10.1 AF at this location for the purpose of aquifer recharge. an additional 0.38 GPM and 0.20 AF of mitigation water will be left instream at the historical diversion site in the NWSESE of sec 2, T12N, R20W. irrigation of the 8.0 ac place of use will be discontinued. The place of use for aquifer recharge and mitigation is the bitterroot river from the southern boundary of sec 2, t12n, r20w to the confluence with the Clark Rork River in sec 27, T13N, R20W. Aquifer recharge and mitigation will offset depletions to the Bitterroot River caused by proposed groundwater use in permit application 76H-30150412.

The DNRC shall issue a water use permit if an applicant proves the criteria in 85-2-402 MCA are met.

Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:

Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks (DFWP)  
Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)  
Montana Natural Heritage Program Species of Concern Report  
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory  
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey

## **Part II. Environmental Review**

### **1. Environmental Impact Checklist:**

#### **PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT**

##### **WATER QUANTITY, QUALITY AND DISTRIBUTION**

**Water quantity** - Assess whether the source of supply is identified as a chronically or periodically dewatered stream by DFWP. Assess whether the proposed use will worsen the already dewatered condition.

Large portions of the Bitterroot River are identified as chronically dewatered. In the proposed project area, FWP has not classified the Bitterroot River as chronically dewatered, however, the Bitterroot River basin is closed to any new surface water appropriations or depletions to surface water. FWP co-owns large instream flow rights on the portion of the Bitterroot River in the proposed project vicinity. The proposed groundwater permit results in small depletions to the Bitterroot River. The proposed change application is meant to mitigate these depletions to the Bitterroot River so that there is no net impact on this waterway. Because this portion of the Bitterroot River is not identified as chronically dewatered in this stretch of the river due to the large instream flow rights, the proposed use cannot enhance a nonexistent dewatering situation.

*Determination:* No significant impact.

**Water quality** - Assess whether the stream is listed as water quality impaired or threatened by DEQ, and whether the proposed project will affect water quality.

The Bitterroot River is not listed by DEQ as having impaired water quality. In 2022, DEQ released a draft Bitterroot River Nutrient Protection Plan, explaining that there is no nutrient impairment in the Bitterroot River, but that growing development in the region make this a key river to watch. The proposed additional volume from a preexisting groundwater development and proposed water left instream would not affect water quality negatively.

*Determination:* No significant impact.

**Groundwater** - Assess if the proposed project impacts ground water quality or supply. If this is a groundwater appropriation, assess if it could impact adjacent surface water flows.

This application is not for appropriation of groundwater, and is not anticipated to have any negative effects on groundwater quality or supply.

*Determination:* No significant impact.

**DIVERSION WORKS** - Assess whether the means of diversion, construction and operation of the appropriation works of the proposed project will impact any of the following: channel impacts, flow modifications, barriers, riparian areas, dams, well construction.

The diversion works are designed by a professional engineering firm and are not anticipated to have negative impacts on the local environment.

*Determination:* No significant impact.

#### **UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES**

**Endangered and threatened species** - *Assess whether the proposed project will impact any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any “species of special concern,” or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. For groundwater, assess whether the proposed project, including impacts on adjacent surface flows, would impact any threatened or endangered species or “species of special concern.”*

The Montana Natural Heritage Program website was reviewed to determine if there are any “threatened” or “endangered” fish, wildlife, plants, or aquatic species that could potentially be impacted by this project. “Species of special concern” were also included in this search.

According to the Montana Natural Heritage Program, observations from the past 50 years indicate that 9 animal species of concern may be found in the area of potential impact. Of these, 2 species are listed as threatened by the USFWS in this area: the Grizzly Bear (*Ursus arctos*) and the Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*).

Animal species of concern include:

Grizzly Bear (*Ursus arctos*)

Northern Hoary Bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*)

Cassin’s Finch (*Haemorhous cassinii*)

Clark’s Nutcracker (*Nucifraga columbiana*)

Evening Grosbeak (*Coccothraustes vespertinus*)

Great Blue Heron (*Ardea herodias*)

Pileated Woodpecker (*Dryocopus pileatus*)

Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*)

Westslope Cutthroat Trout (*Oncorhynchus lewisi*)

The new diversion and conveyance works and the aquifer recharge water provided by this project are not anticipated to adversely affect any of these species.

*Determination:* No significant impacts.

**Wetlands** - *Consult and assess whether the apparent wetland is a functional wetland (according to COE definitions), and whether the wetland resource would be impacted.*

The area in which the diversion and conveyance structures will be constructed contain riparian emergent and freshwater emergent wetland. The diversion and conveyance structures are not anticipated to disrupt these ecosystems. The proposed aquifer recharge plan may raise groundwater levels in the area.

*Determination:* No impacts.

**Ponds** - *For ponds, consult and assess whether existing wildlife, waterfowl, or fisheries resources would be impacted.*

No ponds were identified as being adversely affected.

*Determination:* No impacts.

**GEOLOGY/SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE** - *Assess whether there will be degradation of soil quality, alteration of soil stability, or moisture content. Assess whether the soils are heavy in salts that could cause saline seep.*

Erosion and degradation of soil quality is not anticipated as a result of the proposed change. Soils are not heavy in salts, and not likely to create saline seep.

*Determination:* No significant impacts.

**VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY/NOXIOUS WEEDS** - *Assess impacts to existing vegetative cover. Assess whether the proposed project would result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds.*

Irrigation in the historical place of use ceased prior to this change; therefore, no change to vegetative cover is anticipated as a result of this change, nor is the establishment or spread of noxious weeds predicted..

*Determination:* No significant impacts.

**AIR QUALITY** - *Assess whether there will be a deterioration of air quality or adverse effects on vegetation due to increased air pollutants.*

No negative effects to air quality are expected as a result of this proposal.

*Determination:* No impacts.

**HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES** - *Assess whether there will be degradation of unique archeological or historical sites in the vicinity of the proposed project if it is on State or Federal Lands. If it is not on State or Federal Lands simply state NA-project not located on State or Federal Lands.*

*Determination:* N/A – Project not located on State or Federal Lands

**DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AND ENERGY** - *Assess any other impacts on environmental resources of land, water and energy not already addressed.*

No additional impacts to land, water, or energy are anticipated.

*Determination:* No impacts

## **HUMAN ENVIRONMENT**

**LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS** - *Assess whether the proposed project is inconsistent with any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.*

This project does not violate any known locally adopted environmental plans or regulations.

*Determination:* No impact.

**ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES** - *Assess whether the proposed project will impact access to or the quality of recreational and wilderness activities.*

The proposed project will not inhibit, alter, or impair access to present recreational opportunities in the area. The project is not expected to create any significant pollution, noise, or traffic congestion in the area that may alter the quality of recreational opportunities. The proposed place of use and diversion do not exist on land designated as wilderness.

*Determination:* No impacts

**HUMAN HEALTH** - *Assess whether the proposed project impacts on human health.*

No impacts on human health are anticipated as a result of this project.

*Determination:* No significant impacts

**PRIVATE PROPERTY** - *Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights.*

Yes        No X *If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.*

*Determination:* No significant impacts

**OTHER HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES** - *For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.*

*Impacts on:*

- (a) Cultural uniqueness and diversity? None identified
- (b) Local and state tax base and tax revenues? None identified
- (c) Existing land uses? None identified
- (d) Quantity and distribution of employment? None identified
- (e) Distribution and density of population and housing? None identified
- (f) Demands for government services? None identified

- (g) Industrial and commercial activity? None identified
- (h) Utilities? None identified
- (i) Transportation? None identified
- (j) Safety? None identified.
- (k) Other appropriate social and economic circumstances? None identified.

**2. *Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population:***

Secondary Impacts None identified

Cumulative Impacts None identified

**3. *Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures:*** None

**4. *Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider:*** None identified

*PART III. Conclusion*

**1. *Preferred Alternative*** N/A

**2. *Comments and Responses*** N/A

**3. *Finding:***

Yes        No X Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required?

*If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action:*

No significant environmental impacts were identified as a result of the EA.

*Name of person(s) responsible for preparation of EA:*

*Name:* Alex Dagleish

*Title:* Water Resources Specialist

*Date:* January 16, 2026