

Montana Water Court
PO Box 1389
Bozeman, MT 59771-1389
(406) 586-4364
1-800-624-3270 (In-state only)
Fax: (406) 522-4131

**IN THE WATER COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
YELLOWSTONE RIVER DIVISION - BASIN 42C
TONGUE RIVER BELOW HANGING WOMAN CREEK**

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADJUDICATION OF)
THE EXISTING RIGHTS TO THE USE OF ALL)
THE WATER, BOTH SURFACE AND UNDER-)
GROUND, WITHIN THE TONGUE RIVER BELOW)
HANGING WOMAN CREEK DRAINAGE AREA (42C))
_____)

FILED
FEB 28 2008
Montana Water Court

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
FOR THE PRELIMINARY DECREE OF THE TONGUE RIVER BELOW HANGING
WOMAN CREEK
- BASIN 42C -**

These Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are issued by the Chief Water Judge for inclusion in the Preliminary Decree for the Tongue River Below Hanging Woman Creek (Basin 42C).

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. AUTHORITY TO ACT

The Chief Water Judge issues these findings and conclusions as authorized by § 3-7-224(2) and § 85-2-231, MCA.

2. GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF EXISTING WATER RIGHTS

This is a general adjudication of existing water rights. An existing water right is a right to the use of water which would be protected under the law as it existed prior to July 1, 1973 and the term "existing right" includes federal non-Indian and Indian reserved water rights created under federal law and water rights created under state law. Section 85-2-102(10), MCA (2005). This action for the adjudication of existing water rights commenced on June 8, 1979 when the Montana Supreme Court issued its Order requiring the filing of statements of claim of existing

rights. Certain claims for existing rights were exempt from the filing process, but could be voluntarily filed. Section 85-2-222, MCA (1979). This Decree includes those "exempt" water right claims which were voluntarily filed, but does not include those "exempt" water right claims which were not filed.

3. RESERVED WATER RIGHTS

Claims for water rights reserved under the laws of the United States of America are included in the Montana general adjudication of water rights. The State of Montana, through the Reserved Water Rights Compact Commission, is engaged in the negotiation of reserved water right compacts with Indian tribes and the federal government. While negotiations for the conclusion of a compact are being pursued, all proceedings to generally adjudicate the reserved water rights that are being negotiated are suspended. Section 85-2-217, MCA.

The State of Montana has entered into two compacts defining the use of reserved water right claims in this basin, to wit: the Northern Cheyenne-Montana Compact in 1991, and the United States of America, Department of Agriculture, Forest Service-Montana Compact (Forest Service-Montana Compact) in 2007.

The reserved water right claims (Tribal Water Right) associated with the Northern Cheyenne Tribe of the Northern Cheyenne Reservation are defined in the Northern Cheyenne-Montana Compact. This Compact was included in the Special Northern Cheyenne Compact Subbasin, Water Court Cause No. WC-93-1. This subbasin included Basin 42C, as well as several other basins. On September 26, 1995, this Court entered its Order approving the Decree of the Northern Cheyenne Indian Tribal Water Right. The approval of the Compact and entry of the Decree resolved the reserved water right claims of the Northern Cheyenne Indian Tribe and the United States. *See* Order, paragraph 2, page 3. As noted by that Order and the amendment thereto, the remaining unadjudicated claims within the subbasin were to be adjudicated as a matter of course as the Montana General Adjudication of water rights proceeds. *See* Order, Paragraph 3, page 3, and Order Amending September 26, 1995 Order.

The federal reserved water rights for National Forest System Lands administered by the Forest Service, an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture, are the subject of the Forest Service-Montana Compact. Section 85-20-1401, MCA. On October 12, 2007, the United States and the Attorney General of the State of Montana filed their Joint Motion for Incorporation of the U.S.D.A. Forest Service-Montana Compact into Preliminary and Final

Decrees in Water Court Case No. WC-2007-03. Many United States Forest Service non-reserved claims and three reserved claims are included in this Basin 42C Preliminary Decree. Resolution of any issues associated with the Forest Service claims in this Decree will be addressed after the proceedings in Case WC-2007-03 are completed.

Some claims for reserved water rights are not being negotiated and are included within this Decree. By letter dated April 2, 1998, the Montana Reserved Water Rights Compact Commission formally notified the Water Court that “negotiations between the United States Bureau of Land Management and the State of Montana for any and all reserved water right claims except those for the Upper Missouri National Wild and Scenic River and the Bear Trap Canyon Public Recreation Site were terminated as of this date.” Pursuant to § 85-2-704, MCA, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) was required to file all of its claims for reserved rights within six months of the termination of negotiations. The BLM claims for reserved water rights are included in this Decree.

The reserved rights associated with the Turtle Mountain Public Domain Allotments, if any exist within this Basin, are not included within this decree.

4. SENIOR WATER RIGHTS

Judicial notice is taken of the fact that water rights exist on the Tongue River main stem, on its tributaries and in adjoining basins or subbasins which may be senior to some of the rights decreed in this basin. Rights decreed herein may be subject to curtailment or cessation during times of water shortage in order to satisfy senior rights on a claimant's own source of supply, on the Tongue River main stem, on any tributaries of the Tongue River, or in adjoining basins or subbasins. Any person or entity asserting any right to the use of the water of the Yellowstone River system is subject to the terms of the Yellowstone River Compact. Section 85-20-101, Article I(B).

5. DESCRIPTION OF BASIN

There are a total of 4,708 claims for existing rights for irrigation, stock water, domestic and other uses in this Decree. This basin encompasses the drainage of the Tongue River below Hanging Woman Creek and its tributaries. This Decree affects all sources of water located within the basin. The boundaries of this basin are outlined on the attached map.

6. DECREE ABSTRACTS AND INDEXES

In addition to these Findings and Conclusions, this Decree consists of six bound volumes of computer-printed abstracts of each individual water right claim decreed in this basin. Accompanying the Decree is an Index designed to help people research and find water rights included in the Decree. The Index is composed of the following six sections:

- Source Name Index
- Owner Name Index
- Point of Diversion Index
- Priority Date Index
- Water Right Claim Identification Number Index
- Issue Remarks Index

Bound volumes of the Decree and Index are located at the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC) Water Resources Regional Office in Billings, the Montana DNRC Water Rights Adjudication Office in Helena, the Custer County Clerk of Court Office in Miles City, the Powder River County Clerk of Court Office in Broadus, the Rosebud County Clerk of Court Office in Forsyth, and at the Montana Water Court in Bozeman. This information is also available on the Internet.

7. WATER RIGHT OWNER

A water right is decreed in the name of the claimant. If a water right transfer certificate or ownership update was properly filed and administratively processed prior to September 25, 2007, the Court issued the right in the name of the new owner. If a transfer certificate or ownership update is properly filed and administratively processed after that date, the new owner's name will appear in any subsequent decree.

Some previously decreed water rights are claimed by more than one person. When the combined flow rate of such claims exceeds the previously decreed flow rate, each claimant is decreed the claimed flow rate, but a "decree exceeded" remark is added to each right noting the conflict.

8. SPECIFICS OF EXAMINATION PROCEDURE

The DNRC examined each claim for incomplete or inaccurate information in accordance with the Water Right Claim Examination Rules originally adopted by the Montana Supreme

Court on July 7, 1987. The Supreme Court has amended these rules from time to time, most recently by its Order of December 6, 2006.

The extent of each water right claim in this Decree is further defined by these Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. The specifics of each claim appear in the abstract of the water right. Each abstract of the claimed right includes (when the information was available):

- (a) The name and mailing address of the owner of the right;
- (b) The amount of water included in the right (all flow rates and volumes are measured at the point of diversion unless otherwise noted on the abstract);
- (c) The priority date of the right;
- (d) The purpose for which the right is used;
- (e) The place of use and a legal description of the land, if any, to which the right is appurtenant;
- (f) The source of water included in the right;
- (g) The point of diversion and means of diversion;
- (h) The inclusive dates during which the water is diverted or used each year;
- (i) Any other information necessary to define the nature and extent of the right.

If the claim did not include all the information required by § 85-2-224, MCA, and the information was not provided to the DNRC prior to issuance of this Decree, the right was decreed within the limits of the information submitted. Remarks were added to the abstracts flagging any unresolved issues.

Where a claimant filed two or more water rights on the same claim form, each right was separated and assigned an individual water right number. These additional claims are called "implied claims."

9. MULTIPLE USES OF A WATER RIGHT

Historically, when a water right was decreed, a single water right may have been used for several purposes or uses. In this adjudication, the claimants were required to file separate claims for each use of the water right. Special claim forms were used for irrigation, stock water, domestic use and a catch-all form for all "other uses". As a result of this specific filing, each use of a water right will be decreed separately. Therefore, a water right might be represented by two

or more claims for these multiple uses. A remark noting the multiple use of a water right appears whenever irrigation, stock, domestic, and an "other use" claim are for the same right. The use of the right for several purposes does not increase the extent of the right, rather it decrees the right to alternate and interchange the use or purpose of the water in accord with historical practices.

10. SOURCE NAME

Although some sources were claimed under several names, the source is generally designated by the name listed in the most recent United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) quadrangle maps. If the source has not been designated by the U.S.G.S., the source name most commonly recognized in the locality may be used, or the source may be designated as an "Unnamed Tributary of _____" or "Spring, unnamed Tributary of _____."

The source for claims associated with lakes and reservoirs is generally decreed under the name of the inflowing stream. If the lake or reservoir has a commonly recognized name, this name is also noted. The Source Name Index of the Decree cross-indexes both the inflowing stream name and the lake or reservoir name for easy identification.

When more than one source is claimed for a water right, all sources are listed on the abstracts and indexes. Secondary sources and points of diversions are identified in remarks. Frequently multiple sources actually represent separate water rights for which "implied claims" may be generated.

11. PRIORITY DATE

The priority date is decreed as claimed except where it is incomplete or it contradicts the claim's supporting documentation. Where a claimed priority date included only a year, or a year and a month, the last day of the year or the last day of the month are decreed as the priority date.

Priority dates for groundwater rights after 1961 are reviewed for compliance with the 1961 Groundwater Act and decreed accordingly. If a claim to groundwater after 1961 did not comply with the 1961 Groundwater Act, the priority date is decreed as the filing date of the statement of claim and an issue remark is added noting the problem.

12. GUIDELINES

Guidelines were established in the Water Right Claim Examination Rules to aid in the examination of certain claimed flow rates and volumes. These guidelines are merely estimates of reasonable water use. Flow rates and volumes decreed according to these guidelines are not absolute; they are not unchangeable. They are subject to modification to accurately reflect a

claimant's water right in its true and historical sense. "The quantity of water which may be claimed lawfully under a prior appropriation is limited to that quantity within the amount claimed which the appropriator has needed, and which within a reasonable time he has actually and economically applied to a beneficial use . . . the principle of beneficial use [being of] paramount importance." *Allen v. Petrick, et al.* (1924), 69 Mont. 373, 376-77, 222 P. 451, 452 as cited in *McDonald v. State* (1986), 220 Mont. 519, 536, 722 P.2d 598. There is no exact uniform rule for computing the duty or reasonable quantity of water to be applied for all cases alike.

13. RESERVOIRS AND STORAGE OF WATER

Reservoirs and other storage facilities incident to the beneficial use of water are noted on the abstract of a water right for which such facilities are utilized.

A flow rate is decreed for off stream reservoirs. This flow rate represents the rate at which water is withdrawn or diverted from the source. The flow rate guideline for off stream reservoir claims is the capacity of the diversion and conveyance system. In most cases, unless specified below by purpose, if the claimed flow rate exceeds the guideline and if the accompanying documentation or other data do not substantiate the flow rate, the flow rate is generally decreed as claimed and an issue remark is added noting the problem.

Volume may or may not be quantified for reservoirs depending on the purpose for which the water is stored and used. *See* the Findings of Fact concerning each purpose for further discussion.

The Period of Diversion for reservoirs may or may not be identified. If the claimant identified a period of diversion different from the period of use, the identified period of diversion is decreed.

Earlier in Montana's general adjudication of water rights, the Court found that the right to store water in a reservoir is a storage right separate from a water right and that the actual beneficial use of stored water creates a water right. Disagreement exists in Montana over the precise nature of reservoir storage. The resolution of such a significant issue should not be determined in the general findings of a preliminary decree. The scope and extent of reservoir storage rights should be resolved in an adversarial proceeding in which all interested parties would have an opportunity to participate.

14. IRRIGATION RIGHTS

Flow Rate. Direct flow irrigation rights are decreed by flow rate.

Flow rate is decreed in gallons per minute (gpm) or in cubic feet per second (cfs) instead of the traditional miner's inches. Section 85-2-103, MCA. One miner's inch is equivalent to 11.22 gpm. Forty miner's inches are equivalent to one cfs. The flow rate of a previously decreed water right is decreed as claimed although miner's inches are converted in the manner described.

Flow rates of rights based on a filed notice of appropriation or based on use shall not exceed a reasonable application rate. Based upon information and a recommendation provided by the DNRC, the Water Court finds that a reasonable application rate for direct flow irrigation is 17.00 gpm per acre (1.50 miner's inches per acre). On every claim where the submitted documentation clearly substantiates a flow rate in excess of 17.00 gpm per acre, it was so decreed. If a flow rate in excess of 17.00 gpm per acre was claimed, but not clearly substantiated, the flow rate was reduced to 17.00 gpm per acre and a remark noting the reduction was added to the water right claim abstract.

Water spreading and other irrigation systems not susceptible to measurement by flow rate are generally decreed by volume only. Natural subirrigation and natural overflow were not decreed a flow rate or volume, but the right is limited to the amount of water historically used for a beneficial use.

A flow rate is decreed for off stream reservoirs, but is not decreed for on stream reservoirs. However, if it is determined that the on stream reservoir is not a significant part of the irrigation system, flow rate is decreed and a remark is added noting the system is primarily a direct flow irrigation system.

Volume. Volume is not decreed for direct flow irrigation rights unless a volume was established in a prior court judgment or decree, or where the Water Court determines that volume is necessary to adequately administer the particular water right. Section 85-2-234(6)(b), MCA.

Volume is decreed for rights which involve a reservoir. However, if it is determined that a reservoir is not a significant part of an irrigation system, volume is not decreed and a remark is added noting the system is primarily a direct flow irrigation system.

When volume is decreed, it is decreed in acre-feet per year. An acre-foot of water will cover one level acre to a depth of one foot.

Period of Use. Because the period of use can vary widely for each individual user, a claimed period of use that is reasonable is accepted unless it contradicts a previously decreed or documented period of use. If a period of use was not designated by the claimant or was not within the appropriate climatic zone guideline, an issue remark was added noting the problem, with the exception that the period of use for water spreading, if not claimed, will be assigned a year round period of use.

Place of use.

A. Examination of Place of Use. The place of use of the right is designated by legal land description. Aerial photographs, Water Resource Surveys, field investigations and data submitted by the claimant are used by the DNRC to examine the claimed place of use. The resources actually used are specified on the DNRC's work sheet which is located in the claim file. The place of use for irrigation is decreed as claimed. If upon examination the land did not appear irrigated, a remark was added to the abstract noting the acreage discrepancy.

B. Supplemental Water Rights and Place of Use. Several water rights with different priority dates or different sources may be combined to supplement the irrigation requirements of all or part of the same acreage. Each right is limited to the flow rate and place of use of that right. The sum total flow rate of these rights shall not exceed the amount historically used for a beneficial purpose.

Point of Diversion and Means of Diversion. The point of diversion and means of diversion are decreed. The point of diversion is specifically designated by legal land description. Secondary points of diversion are noted in a remark when possible or necessary. In many cases, the claim and claimant's map will more specifically define the points of diversion. The means of diversion is reviewed and decreed as claimed unless a different means of diversion is apparent.

15. DOMESTIC RIGHTS

Flow Rate. Domestic rights with a claimed flow rate of 35.00 gpm or less are decreed as claimed. If the claimed flow rate exceeds 35.00 gpm and the accompanying documentation or other data does not substantiate the flow rate, the flow rate is decreed as claimed and an issue remark is added noting the problem. When a flow rate claimed had been previously decreed, the flow rate is not changed. A flow rate is decreed for off stream reservoirs, but is not decreed for on stream reservoirs.

Volume. The volume guideline for domestic use is 1.50 acre foot per year per household and two and one-half acre feet per year per acre of lawn and garden. A volume is decreed for both on stream and off stream reservoirs.

Place of Use. Land irrigated as part of the domestic use is decreed as part of the place of use. If a claim for domestic use included irrigation separate from the domestic use, an "implied claim" was generated for the separate irrigation use.

Period of Use. The period of use claimed is the period of use decreed.

16. STOCK WATER RIGHTS

Volume. Unless otherwise directed by the Water Court, stock water claims are not decreed a specific volume. The limit of the right is based on a consumptive use of 30 gallons per day per animal unit. An animal unit is described as a cow/calf pair or equivalent. The number of animal units is limited to the reasonable carrying capacity of the area historically serviced by the water source. Such rights are limited to their historical beneficial use.

The claimed volume was decreed for all stock water claims filed by the United States of America which involve pothole lakes and reservoirs pursuant to the August 7, 1987 Order of the late Chief Water Judge W. W. Lessley.

Flow Rate. The flow rates for stock water rights from wells, developed springs, pumps and gravity flow pipelines are governed by the diversion's capacity. If the claimed flow rate exceeds 35.00 gpm and the accompanying documentation or other data do not substantiate the flow rate, the flow rate is decreed as claimed and an issue remark is added noting the problem.

Rights involving stock drinking directly from surface water sources or ditch systems are not decreed a specific flow rate. Although not quantified by flow rate, such rights are limited to their historical beneficial use.

A flow rate is decreed for off stream reservoirs, but not for on stream reservoirs.

Point of Diversion. When a stock water use is direct from a surface water source, the legal land description for the point of diversion will be the same as that for the place of use. Where there is a ditch, pipeline or off stream storage the legal land description for the point of diversion may differ from that for the place of use.

17. OTHER USE RIGHTS

In this state wide adjudication effort, water right claims were submitted for a great many beneficial uses, including such “other uses” as: commercial, fire protection, fish and wildlife, fish raceways, institutional, lawn and garden, mining, multiple domestic, municipal, power generation, recreation, and wildlife.

Flow Rate and Volume. Except for direct from source fish and wildlife claims, fire protection claims, and mining claims, the flow rate and volume of these other use rights were generally decreed as claimed, as clarified by the DNRC, or as amended by the claimant. If the accompanying documentation or other data did not substantiate the flow rate or volume of these other uses, an issue remark was added noting the problem. A flow rate was decreed for off stream reservoirs, but not for on stream reservoirs. A volume was decreed for both on stream and off stream reservoirs.

Recreation, Fish and Wildlife claims. There are claims in this decree for recreation, wildlife, fish and wildlife purposes. On September 24, 2002, the Montana Supreme Court overruled its 1988 decision of *In the Matter of Dearborn Drainage Area* (1988), 234 Mont. 331, 766 P.2d 228 which held that “Montana, prior to 1973, did not recognize fish, wildlife and recreation appropriations, whether diversionary or non-diversionary.” See *In the Matter of the Missouri River Drainage Area*, 2002 MT 216 ¶40, 311 Mont. 327, 55 P.3d 396.

In its September 24, 2002 opinion, the Montana Supreme Court stated at ¶40:

[w]e hold that Montana recognized fish, wildlife and recreation uses as beneficial and that valid instream and inlake appropriations of water existed in Montana prior to 1973 where the intended beneficial use did not require diversion, and when the facts and circumstances indicate that notice of the appropriator’s intent had been given.

In its opinion at ¶41, the Supreme Court instructed the Water Court:

to identify, review and hold hearings in a manner similar to *Adjudication of Water Rights of Yellowstone River* (1992), 253 Mont. 167, 832 P.2d 1210, on all pre-1973 recreation, fish and wildlife claims, both diversionary and non-diversionary, and determine the validity of such claims under the holding herein.

By Order dated December 6, 2006, the Supreme Court adopted Rule 27(h)(5) and 27(h)(6), W.R.C.E.R. The Supreme Court directed the department to place a remark on all such wildlife, recreation, fish and wildlife claims. The remark identifies which wildlife, recreation, fish and wildlife claims would be subject to a Water Court hearing. Rule 27(h)(5) and (6) state in part as follows:

(5) wildlife, recreation, and fish and wildlife claims that do not receive a factual or legal issue remark as a result of the claims examination process will receive the following remark: BECAUSE THIS CLAIM DID NOT RECEIVE A FACTUAL OR LEGAL ISSUE REMARK DURING THE CLAIMS EXAMINATION PROCESS, THE WATER COURT WILL NOT HOLD A HEARING ON THIS CLAIM UNDER *Matter of the Adjudication of Existing Rights in Basin 411*, 2002 MT 216, 311 Mont. 327, 55 P.3d 396 UNLESS A VALID OBJECTION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 85-2-233, MCA, OR THE WATER COURT CALLS THE CLAIM IN ON ITS OWN MOTION UNDER RULE 8, W.R.ADJ.R.

(6) wildlife, recreation, and fish and wildlife claims that receive a factual or legal issue remark as a result of the claims examination process will receive the following remark: THE WATER COURT WILL HOLD A HEARING ON THIS CLAIM TO DETERMINE ITS VALIDITY SUBJECT TO SECTION 85-2-248, MCA, AND *Matter of the Adjudication of Existing Rights in Basin 411*, 2002 MT 216, 311 Mont. 327, 55 P.3d 396. A HEARING MAY ALSO BE HELD ON THIS CLAIM IF A VALID OBJECTION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 85-2-233, MCA, OR THE WATER COURT CALLS THE CLAIM IN ON ITS OWN MOTION UNDER RULE 8, W.R.ADJ.R.

The Water Court will follow the Supreme Court's most recent direction on this issue, i.e. the direction set forth in Rule 27(h)(5) and 27(h)(6), W.R.Adj.R.

Murphy Rights. All instream fish and wildlife claims filed by the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks on Murphy Right streams as identified under § 85-801, R.C.M. (1969) were decreed flow rates and volumes as claimed.

Instream claims. For all instream other use claims, other than Murphy Right claims, a flow rate and volume was not decreed. Each such claim carries a remark limiting the flow rate and volume to the minimum amount necessary to sustain the purpose.

Fire Protection and Mining Rights. For all fire protection and mining claims, a volume was not decreed. Fire protection claims carry a remark limiting the volume to the minimum amount necessary for the purpose. Mining claims carry a remark limiting rights to the volume of water historically used for the purpose.

Other Elements. The remaining elements of other use claims are generally treated the same as on irrigation claims.

18. INTERBASIN TRANSFER CLAIMS

Claims having a point of diversion in one basin and place of use in another basin are called interbasin transfers. An interbasin transfer claim is fully described in the point of diversion basin decree. To provide notice to water users in the place of use basin, an interbasin

transfer claim is also included in the decree of the basin(s) where the place of use is located, but only an abbreviated version of the decree abstract is included in the place of use basin decree. Objections to interbasin transfer claims may be filed during the objection periods for either the point of diversion basin or the place of use basin.

19. UNRESOLVED FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES

The objection period provides an opportunity for all the parties in this Decree to raise any issue which needs to be resolved. All such issues may also be reviewed by the Water Court on its own initiative (“on its own motion”). *See* Rule 8, W.R.Adj.R.

Unresolved factual and legal issues have been noted by remarks on the individual claim abstracts. The Issue Remarks Index combines all these remarks into one index which is arranged numerically by water right claim number. Searching the Issue Remarks Index by water right claim number will identify all issue remarks that are noted on the individual claim abstracts. Any issue remarks that are not resolved through the objection process will be resolved by the Water Court as directed by § 85-2-248, MCA.

Water users within this basin and other interested persons will be mailed a Notice of Entry of Preliminary Decree and Notice of Availability contemporaneously with the filing of these Findings and Conclusions. This "Notice of Availability" explains the objection process and sets a deadline for filing objections with the Montana Water Court.

If a water right claim receives no objection and is not reviewed by the Water Court on its own initiative or as directed by § 85-2-248, MCA, the claim will likely be entered into the Final Decree without change. After all the objections and hearings on a source have been resolved and completed, the claims may be enforced and administered by the district court as authorized in § 85-2-406, MCA.

20. WITHDRAWN AND TERMINATED CLAIMS

Some water right claims have been withdrawn by the claimants and terminated in this basin prior to the issuance of this Decree. An abstract of each of these terminated claims is found in the bound volumes of the computer-printed abstracts and all terminated claims are listed in the Decree indexes described in Finding 6. Terminated claims are noted as “WDRN” (Withdrawn) under the Version Status Column of the Decree indexes.

21. LATE CLAIMS

The Montana Supreme Court ordered the deadline for filing claims of existing water rights as 5:00 PM on April 30, 1982. Claims filed after this time and date were forfeited. *See Matter of the Adjudication of Yellowstone River Water Rights* (1992), 253 Mont. 167, 832 P.2d 1210.

The 1993 Montana Legislature provided for the conditional remission of the forfeiture of late claims. *See* § 85-2-221(3), MCA. Any remission of forfeiture will be conditioned upon the claimant meeting the requirements set by the Montana Legislature. *See, generally*, Water Court Order Setting Late Claim Administrative Costs and Expenses filed September 24, 1997, Order Establishing Water Court Procedures on Late Claims filed December 8, 1997, and Rule 30, W.R.Adj.R., and Order Setting Uniform Hourly Rate Under Rule 30, W.R.Adj.R., filed July 2, 2007.

Late claims are included in this Decree. Late claim abstracts contain the term "Late Claim:" followed by the letter "A" or "B". Late claim status is also identified on the Decree indexes. Objections may be filed on all issues as to these claims.

22. WATER RIGHT CLAIM IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS

The computer system containing the State's centralized water right database has undergone a major modification by the DNRC. In DNRC's former database system, water right claim numbers contained a letter identification code, such as W, A, B, U, or O. The letter identification code identified the claim as an "existing right" (W), a "late claim" (A or B), a "reserved" right (U), or a "terminated" (TERM) claim (O).

In DNRC's new database, letter identification codes are no longer included in water right claim numbers. Each abstract generated by the new database identifies the type or the status of the right by a word or phrase. The term "Statement of Claim" replaces the "W" letter code. The term "Late Claim" followed by the designation of "A" or "B" identifies the existence and type of late claim. The term "Reserved" replaces the "U" letter code. The terms "Withdrawn" (WDRN) or "Dismissed" replace the "O" letter identification code.

This decree also contains some "Powder River Declarations" that were filed for that basin and were determined to be in the 'Tongue River' basin 42C.

This Decree was produced from DNRC's new database. Therefore, the water right claim numbers do not contain water right identification codes.

23. RIGHT TO APPEAL

The right to appeal a Water Court decision is specified in Section 85-2-235, M.C.A. and Rule 25, W.R.Adj.R.

Based on these Findings of Fact, the Chief Water Judge makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

The Montana Water Court has jurisdiction to enter a Preliminary Decree for the Tongue River Below Hanging Woman Creek Drainage Area (Basin 42C) pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. Title 85, Chapter 2. *San Carlos Apache Tribe v. Arizona* and *Montana v. Northern Cheyenne Tribe* (1983), 463 U.S. 545, 103 S.Ct. 3201, 77 L.Ed.2d 837 and *State ex Rel. Greely v. Conf. Salish & Kootenai Tribes* (1985), 219 Mont. 76, 98-99, 712 P.2d 754.

II

These Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Abstracts define the nature and extent of each claimed existing water right under Montana law in Basin 42C and comprise a Preliminary Decree in accordance with § 85-2-231, MCA, and Rule 4, W.R. Adj.R.

DATED this 28 day of FEBRUARY, 2008.



C. Bruce Loble
Chief Water Judge

Jim Gilman, Bureau Chief
Water Rights Adjudication Bureau
MT Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
PO Box 201601
Helena MT 59620-1601

Basin 42C

